



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,593	05/17/2004	Reiner Kreyenkamp	604041	3592
30008	7590	03/13/2008	EXAMINER	
GUDRUN E. HUCKETT DRAUDT			AYRES, TIMOTHY MICHAEL	
SCHUBERTSTR. 15A				
WUPPERTAL, 42289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GERMANY				3637
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/709,593	KREYENKAMP, REINER
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	TIMOTHY M. AYRES	3637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2007.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,5,6,8,10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,5,6,8,10 and 12-15 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/07.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. Claim 1 recites the phrase “a size providing a finger protection preventing fingers from being pinched” is indefinite since it relates to an infinitely variable size, (fingers and a sufficient extra dimension to then prevent pinching). It is indefinite since it is unclear as to what the metes and bounds of the claim are finger protection from being pinched. The specification does not provide a standard for what is considered sufficient space to prevent fingers from being pinched. There aren’t any dimensions, the metes and bounds of the claim are unclear, sufficient size for a toddler is very different than sufficient size for a larger adult. The specification does not provide a range for this, a potential infringer would not readily decipher the low end of the range or the upper end, i.e. do they infringe when their gap is 1/2 inch, 1 inch, 3 inches? The finger is not a constant unit of measure to base a gap size on, further it is unclear as to what dimensions needed add to the finger to than be a *sufficient* size to prevent pinching. While the examiner understands that the are standard ring sizes and glove sizes there still are numerous people who require custom ring sizes and glove sizes. For

examination purposes any gap size is considered sufficient to meet the claim language and is considered capable of providing the function of finger protection since such is a result of more than just gap size, i.e. the opening size of the cabinet relative to the size of the drawer is relevant.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,199,966 to Fulterer in view of US Patent 3,146,048 to Graham. Fulterer teaches a tall cabinet (1) with a pullout device. The pullout device comprises upper and lower pullout rails (28, 39). A frame (40) moves on the guide parts. Shelf members (45) are connected to the frame. The frame is composed of a vertical longitudinal front support (41) to support a cabinet door (29) and a vertical longitudinal rear support (42). When the pullout device is in the extend position as seen in figure 26, a gap is formed between the vertical longitudinal rear support and the opening plane of the cabinet body. A first locking mechanism includes hooks and openings as described in column 9, lines 5-65 and figures 17-23, which blocks return movement of the frame when it is the extended position.

6. Fulterer does not expressly disclose the frame pivoting and the details of the mechanism to allow the pivoting. Graham teaches a cabinet (12) with a frame (10) and door (14) supported by pullout rails (24). Bearings (78,88,84) connect the frame to the guide parts. A second locking device (80, 100,112,22,114) lock the rotation of the frame by using notches (82) that allow the frame to pivoted to stepped pivot positions that are 90 degrees up or down, 45 degrees up or down, and a central position. A first locking mechanism (34,38,40,20,50,56, 52, 46) comprises a spring (50) forcing pins (34,38) to lock the guide parts (24) in the extended position or a storage position. While the frame is in the rotated position, the pins (34,38) would not be able to be unlocked since the release mechanism (20,58,52) would not be aligned with rod (46) which acts on the pins (34,38) and thereby preventing the frame to withdraw into the cabinet while the frame is pivoted. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pullout device of Fulterer by adding the pivot apparatus including locking mechanism to both the upper and lower guides as taught by Graham to permit rotation of the frame to facilitate access the contents of the frame while allowing the device to be locked and unlocked safely and with a minimum amount of force. Please note that when the pivoting mechanism of Graham is added the tall cabinet of figure 26 of Fulterer there is considered to be sufficient space between the shelf (45) and the side of the cabinet to prevent finger pinching. This is due to the pivoting mechanism being rotated about the midpoint of the shelf and as the shelf rotates the gap while expand.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The 112.2 rejection as far as the phrase "a size providing a finger protection preventing fingers from being pinched" is upheld, please see the further description above. As well it is shown in the 103 rejection there are more variables to the function of preventing finger pinching than just the size of the gap between the plane of the opening and the shelf. With this viewpoint Fulterer in view of Graham while having a small gap is considered of sufficient size due to the size of the opening, shelf, and the pivot placement and is therefore considered to meet the limitations of the claims as recited.

***Conclusion***

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY M. AYRES whose telephone number is (571)272-8299. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. M. A./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3637  
3/3/2008

/Janet M. Wilkens/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637